IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

S

CASE NUMBER: 5:19-CR-784-XR

VS.

S

JOHN ESCOBEDO,

Defendant.

ORDER

On February 6, 2020, the Court held a hearing on the Sealed Motion to Withdraw Counsel [#31], filed by counsel for Defendant, Karen Norris. Ms. Norris and Defendant John Escobedo were both present at the hearing. (The Court excused the Government from attending.) Having reviewed the motion and case file as a whole, considering the representations made on the record by both the Defendant and his counsel during the hearing, and noting this is Defendant's first request for new counsel, the Court will grant the motion.

However, the Court emphasizes to Defendant that the Sixth Amendment establishes a defendant's right to counsel, but not an unqualified right to an attorney of the defendant's choice. *United States v. Magee*, 741 F.2d 93 (5th Cir. 1984). Instead, a "defendant is entitled to counsel capable of rendering competent, meaningful assistance in the preparation and trial of the pending charges, including appropriate evaluation and advice with reference to a plea of guilty." *United States v. Moore*, 706 F.2d 538, 540 (5th Cir. 1983). However, a "defendant is not entitled to an attorney who agrees with the defendant's personal view of the prevailing law or the equities of the prosecutor's case." *Id.* Moreover, although a "defendant is entitled to an attorney who will consider the defendant's views and seek to accommodate all reasonable requests" as well as one

"with whom he can communicate reasonably," a defendant "has no right to an attorney who will

docilely do as he is told." Id. "Every defendant is entitled to the assistance of counsel dedicated

to the proposition, and capable of assuring that, the prosecution's case shall be presented in

conformity with the Constitution, rules of evidence and all other controlling rules and practices.

No defendant has a right to more." Id.

Although nothing in the record or presented at the hearing suggests Defendant's current

attorney falls short of this standard, Defendant indicated that he believes he will be able to have a

more productive relationship with a new attorney. This is Defendant's first request for a new

attorney and therefore the Court will grant it. However, as the Court emphasizes to Defendant

that he should work in good faith with his new attorney and expect that the attorney

communicate reasonably, but he should not expect that any attorney the Court appoints would

agree with him on every issue related to the case or that any appointed attorney would file

everything with the Court that Defendant requests.

In accordance with the foregoing, and for the reasons stated on the record during the

hearing:

IT IS ORDERED that the Sealed Motion to Withdraw Counsel [#31] is **GRANTED**.

SIGNED this 6th day of February, 2020.

ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2